

**MR2398796 (2009c:14025)** 14E08 (12F20)

**Hoshi, Akinari (J-WASED); Rikuna, Yūichi (J-WASES-AME)**

**Rationality problem of three-dimensional purely monomial group actions: the last case.**  
 (English summary)

*Math. Comp.* **77** (2008), no. 263, 1823–1829.

Let  $k$  be a field and  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be the field of rational functions over  $k$  in  $n$  variables. Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  acting trivially on  $k$ . Let  $(g_{ij}) \in G$ . Then the action of  $G$  on  $K$  given by  $x_j \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{g_{ij}}$  is  $k$ -automorphic (such actions are called purely monomial). The

“rationality problem” is to determine if the field of invariants  $K^G$  is isomorphic to a rational function field over  $k$ . In other words, is  $K^G \subset K$  a purely transcendental extension field of  $k$ ? If the answer is yes, then the field of invariants is said to be  $k$ -rational.

For dimension one (i.e.,  $n = 1$ ),  $k(x)^G$  is  $k$ -rational since the only subgroups  $G$  are  $\langle 1 \rangle$  and  $Z_2$ . In the first case the invariant field is  $k(x)$  itself and in the second case the invariant field is  $k(x + x^{-1})$ . Either way  $k(x)^G$  is  $k$ -rational. For dimension two, in [M. Hajja, *J. Algebra* **109** (1987), no. 1, 46–51; [MR0898335 \(88j:12002\)](#)] it was shown that for any finite  $G < \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  the field of invariants  $k(x_1, x_2)^G$  is  $k$ -rational.

Now let  $G_0$  be the finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then in [M. Hajja and M. C. Kang, *J. Algebra* **149** (1992), no. 1, 139–154; [MR1165204 \(93d:12009\)](#); *J. Algebra* **170** (1994), no. 3, 805–860; [MR1305266 \(95k:12008\)](#)] it was established that for any finite  $G < \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  that is not conjugate to  $G_0$  (there exist exactly 72 conjugacy classes of such groups), the field of invariants  $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$  is again  $k$ -rational. This solves the  $k$ -rationality problem in dimensions one, two and three except in only one case.

The main result in the paper under review furthers the above work by proving: for any field  $k$  and any group  $G$  conjugate to  $G_0$  the field of invariants  $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$  is also  $k$ -rational. In the proof, the authors first observe that  $G_0$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group on 4 letters, and then the  $G_0$  action is decomposed into subactions given by normal subgroups of  $G_0$  (or more specifically a conjugate of  $G_0$ , but this is not important since all conjugates give the same invariant field up to isomorphism). The authors then explicitly compute the field of invariants of these normal subgroups (smaller groups give bigger invariant fields) working their way to the full action. At the last step in the process they construct generators of the invariant field that satisfy a set of general conditions that, together with the dimension two result, imply  $k$ -rationality.

It is then natural to wonder if there are any counterexamples at all. But according to Hajja and Kang [op. cit., 1994],  $k$ -rationality fails in dimension four for a certain finite abelian purely monomial action.

---

## References

1. H. Ahmad, M. Ha jja, and M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), no. 2, 643–658. [MR1764585 \(2001e:12003\)](#)
2. M. Ha jja, *Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of  $k(x, y)$* , J. Algebra **109** (1987), no. 1, 46–51. [MR0898335 \(88j:12002\)](#)
3. M. Ha jja and M. Kang, *Finite group actions on rational function fields*, J. Algebra **149** (1992), no. 1, 139–154. [MR1165204 \(93d:12009\)](#)
4. M. Ha jja and M. Kang, *Three-dimensional purely monomial group actions*, J. Algebra **170** (1994), no. 3, 805–860. [MR1305266 \(95k:12008\)](#)
5. C. U. Jensen, A. Ledet, and N. Yui, *Generic polynomials, Constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. [MR1969648 \(2004d:12007\)](#)
6. I. Kersten, *Noether's problem and normalization*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **100** (1998), no. 1, 3–22. [MR1617295 \(99h:12003\)](#)
7. H. Kuniyoshi, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955), 65–67. [MR0069160 \(16,993d\)](#)
8. B. 'E. Kunyavskii, *Three-dimensional algebraic tori*, Translated in Selecta Math. Soviet. **9** (1990), no. 1, 1–21. [MR1032541 \(91g:14050\)](#)
9. H. W. Lenstra, *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974), 299–325. [MR0347788 \(50 #289\)](#)
10. M. Lorenz, *Multiplicative invariant theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences vol. 135, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups VI. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. [MR2131760 \(2005m:13012\)](#)
11. K. Masuda, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955), 59–63. [MR0069159 \(16,993c\)](#)
12. E. Noether, *Gleichungen mit vorgeschriebener Gruppe*, Math. Ann. **78** (1918), 221–229. [MR1511893](#) [MR1511893](#)
13. J.-P. Serre, *Topics in Galois theory*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992. [MR1162313 \(94d:12006\)](#)
14. J.-P. Serre, *Cohomological invariants, Witt invariants, and trace forms*, Notes by Skip Garibaldi. Univ. Lecture Ser., 28, Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, 1–100, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. [MR1999384](#) [MR1999384](#)
15. R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr (Bryn Mawr, Pa., 1982), 21–40, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1983. [MR0713790 \(84k:12013\)](#)
16. K. Tahara, *On the finite subgroups of  $GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$* , Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971), 169–209. [MR0272910 \(42 #7791\)](#)
17. V. E. Voskresenskiĭ, *On two-dimensional algebraic tori*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **29** (1965), 239–244. [MR0172881 \(30 #3097\)](#)
18. V. E. Voskresenskiĭ, *On two-dimensional algebraic tori II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967), 711–716. [MR0214597 \(35 #5446\)](#)

19. V. E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic groups and their birational invariants*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 179. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. [MR1634406 \(99g:20090\)](#)

*Note: This list, extracted from the PDF form of the original paper, may contain data conversion errors, almost all limited to the mathematical expressions.*

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2009