

Symmetry in $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties

Sean Lawton

slawton@math.ksu.edu

Kansas State University

January 18, 2007

Outline of Presentation

Outline of Presentation

- $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties

Outline of Presentation

- $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties
- The i^{th} fundamental theorem

Outline of Presentation

- $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties
- The i^{th} fundamental theorem
- Minimal Generators

Outline of Presentation

- $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties
- The i^{th} fundamental theorem
- Minimal Generators
- Algebraic Independence

Outline of Presentation

- $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -Character Varieties
- The i^{th} fundamental theorem
- Minimal Generators
- Algebraic Independence
- Outer Automorphisms and Symmetry

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.
- Then $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Hom}(F_r, \mathfrak{G}) \approx \mathfrak{G}^{\times r}$ is an affine variety.

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.
- Then $\mathfrak{R} = \mathrm{Hom}(F_r, \mathcal{G}) \approx \mathcal{G}^{\times r}$ is an affine variety.
- \mathcal{G} acts on \mathfrak{R} by conjugation. The orbit space \mathfrak{R}/\mathcal{G} is NOT a variety.

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.
- Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{Hom}(F_r, \mathcal{G}) \approx \mathcal{G}^{\times r}$ is an affine variety.
- \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{R} by conjugation. The orbit space \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{G} is NOT a variety.
- Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$ be the coordinate ring of \mathcal{R} ; that is, the ring of polynomial functions on \mathcal{R} . The conjugation action extends to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$.

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.
- Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{Hom}(F_r, \mathcal{G}) \approx \mathcal{G}^{\times r}$ is an affine variety.
- \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{R} by conjugation. The orbit space \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{G} is NOT a variety.
- Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$ be the coordinate ring of \mathcal{R} ; that is, the ring of polynomial functions on \mathcal{R} . The conjugation action extends to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$.
- The subring of invariants of this action, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}}$, is the set of polynomial functions on \mathcal{R} invariant under conjugation.

SL(3, \mathbb{C})-Character Varieties

- Let F_r be a rank r free group and $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$.
- Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{Hom}(F_r, \mathcal{G}) \approx \mathcal{G}^{\times r}$ is an affine variety.
- \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{R} by conjugation. The orbit space \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{G} is NOT a variety.
- Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$ be the coordinate ring of \mathcal{R} ; that is, the ring of polynomial functions on \mathcal{R} . The conjugation action extends to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]$.
- The subring of invariants of this action, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}}$, is the set of polynomial functions on \mathcal{R} invariant under conjugation.
- In other words, these polynomials are defined on orbits. But they do not distinguish orbits whose closures intersect.

- Since \mathfrak{G} is (linearly) reductive, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{R}]^{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a finitely generated domain and so $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}_{max}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{R}]^{\mathfrak{G}})$ is an affine variety.

- Since \mathcal{G} is (linearly) reductive, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finitely generated domain and so $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}_{max}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}})$ is an affine variety.
- $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{R} // \mathcal{G}$ is the categorical quotient, although it is not the usual orbit space.

- Since \mathcal{G} is (linearly) reductive, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finitely generated domain and so $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}_{max}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{R}]^{\mathcal{G}})$ is an affine variety.
- $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{R} // \mathcal{G}$ is the categorical quotient, although it is not the usual orbit space.
- \mathfrak{X} is called the **character variety** since it is the largest variety which parametrizes conjugacy classes of representations (characters).

The i^{th} fundamental theorem

In 1976 C. Procesi showed:

The i^{th} fundamental theorem

In 1976 C. Procesi showed:

Theorem (1st Fundamental Theorem of Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices).

Any polynomial invariant of r matrices A_1, \dots, A_r of size $n \times n$ is a polynomial in the invariants $\text{tr}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j})$; where $A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j}$ run over all possible noncommutative monomials.

The i^{th} fundamental theorem

In 1976 C. Procesi showed:

Theorem (1st Fundamental Theorem of Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices).

Any polynomial invariant of r matrices A_1, \dots, A_r of size $n \times n$ is a polynomial in the invariants $\text{tr}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j})$; where $A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j}$ run over all possible noncommutative monomials.

Remark. Procesi showed in his work that $j \leq 2^n - 1$. In 1974

Razmyslov had shown that $j \leq n^2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, it is known that $j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (and conjectured to be true in general).

The i^{th} fundamental theorem

In 1976 C. Procesi showed:

Theorem (1st Fundamental Theorem of Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices).

Any polynomial invariant of r matrices A_1, \dots, A_r of size $n \times n$ is a polynomial in the invariants $\text{tr}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j})$; where $A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j}$ run over all possible noncommutative monomials.

Remark. *Procesi showed in his work that $j \leq 2^n - 1$. In 1974 Razmyslov had shown that $j \leq n^2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, it is known that $j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (and conjectured to be true in general).*

Comment. *The difference between invariants of arbitrary $n \times n$ matrices and those with unitary determinant is the invariants $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^3)$. In other words,*

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\times r} // \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})] \approx \mathbb{C}[\text{M}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\times r} // \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})] / \mathfrak{I},$$

where $\mathfrak{I} = (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^3) - P(\mathbf{X}_1), \dots, \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_r^3) - P(\mathbf{X}_r))$.

Theorem (2nd Fundamental Theorem of Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices).
*All relations among the generators of $\text{tr}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j})$ are
“consequences” of the characteristic polynomial $\det(\mathbf{X} - t\mathbf{I}) = 0$.*

Theorem (2nd Fundamental Theorem of Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices).

All relations among the generators of $\text{tr}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_j})$ are “consequences” of the characteristic polynomial $\det(\mathbf{X} - t\mathbf{I}) = 0$.

Quote (from Procesi, 1976). *According to the general theory, we will split the description into two steps. The so called “first fundamental theorem,” i.e., a list of generators for $T_{i,n}$, and the “second fundamental theorem,” i.e., a list of relations among the previously found generators. Of course, it would be very interesting to continue the process by giving the “ i^{th} fundamental theorem,” i.e., the full theory of syzigies; unfortunately, this seems to be still out of the scope of the theory as presented in this paper.*

Some Progress...

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):
 1. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}),$
 $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are minimal.

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):
 1. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}),$
 $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are minimal.
 2. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}),$
 $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are independent.

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):
 1. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are minimal.
 2. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are independent.
 3. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ satisfies a monic (degree 2) relation over the algebraically independent generators. It generates the ideal.

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):
 1. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are minimal.
 2. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are independent.
 3. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ satisfies a monic (degree 2) relation over the algebraically independent generators. It generates the ideal.
 4. $\text{Out}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ and has an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent generators.

Some Progress...

- In 2003 Drensky gave a complete and uniform description of the invariant ring of 2×2 matrices.
- For two unitary 3×3 matrices (L, 2006):
 1. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are minimal.
 2. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ are independent.
 3. $\text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$ satisfies a monic (degree 2) relation over the algebraically independent generators. It generates the ideal.
 4. $\text{Out}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ and has an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent generators.

We wish to generalize this case.

Minimal Generators

- $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ is generated by $\{\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) \mid \text{length}(\mathbf{W}) \leq 6\}$.

Minimal Generators

- $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ is generated by $\{\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) \mid \text{length}(\mathbf{W}) \leq 6\}$.
- The Cayley-Hamilton equation provides the identity,

$$\mathbf{X}^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} = 0.$$

Minimal Generators

- $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ is generated by $\{\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) \mid \text{length}(\mathbf{W}) \leq 6\}$.
- The Cayley-Hamilton equation provides the identity,

$$\mathbf{X}^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} = 0.$$

- So we may freely replace any polynomial generator $\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^2\mathbf{V})$ with $\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^{-1}\mathbf{V})$ since,

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^2\mathbf{V}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^{-1}\mathbf{V}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{UXV}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})\text{tr}(\mathbf{UV}).$$

Minimal Generators

- $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ is generated by $\{\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) \mid \text{length}(\mathbf{W}) \leq 6\}$.
- The Cayley-Hamilton equation provides the identity,

$$\mathbf{X}^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} = 0.$$

- So we may freely replace any polynomial generator $\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^2\mathbf{V})$ with $\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^{-1}\mathbf{V})$ since,

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^2\mathbf{V}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{UX}^{-1}\mathbf{V}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{UXV}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})\text{tr}(\mathbf{UV}).$$

- Therefore, the ring of invariants is generated by traces of words whose letters have exponent ± 1 .

- By linearizing the Cayley-Hamilton polynomial we get
$$\mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X}^2 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{YX} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{XY} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^2)\mathbf{I} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{I} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{I}).$$

- By linearizing the Cayley-Hamilton polynomial we get
$$\mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X}^2 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{YX} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{XY} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^2)\mathbf{I} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{I} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{I}).$$
- Define $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX}$.

- By linearizing the Cayley-Hamilton polynomial we get

$$\mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X}^2 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{YX} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{XY} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^2)\mathbf{I} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{I} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{I}).$$
- Define $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX}$.
- Then $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_3) = -\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 2}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{W}_3) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 2}\mathbf{W}_3) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{W}_2)\mathbf{W}_3)$. However, by subsequently reducing the words having letters with exponent not ± 1 , we eliminate expressions $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_3)$.

- By linearizing the Cayley-Hamilton polynomial we get

$$\mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X}^2 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{YX} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{XY} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{X} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{YX}^2)\mathbf{I} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X})\text{tr}(\mathbf{XY})\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{Y} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})^2\mathbf{I} + \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y})\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^2)\mathbf{I}).$$
- Define $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{YX}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{XYX}$.
- Then $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_3) = -\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 2}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{W}_3) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 2}\mathbf{W}_3) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{W}_2)\mathbf{W}_3)$. However, by subsequently reducing the words having letters with exponent not ± 1 , we eliminate expressions $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{W}_3)$.
- Letting $\mathbf{W}_3 = \mathbf{X}$ we deduce: $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^2) = -\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{X}^2) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{X}^3) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_1\text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}_2)\mathbf{X})$.

Putting this together we deduce that the following traces generate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\
& \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \\
& \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \\
& \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\
& \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m \mathbf{X}_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m \neq n \leq r$.

Putting this together we deduce that the following traces generate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m \mathbf{X}_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m \neq n \leq r$.

- It remains to count how many of each type are necessary.

Putting this together we deduce that the following traces generate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_l^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_j^{-1}), \\ & \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_k^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_m \mathbf{X}_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m \neq n \leq r$.

- It remains to count how many of each type are necessary.
- Using the representation theory of $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$, Abeasis and Pittaluga (1989) determined a method to count the minimal number of generators with respect to word length and with respect to the invariants of *arbitrary* matrices.

Their idea is as follows:

Their idea is as follows:

- The ring of invariants of 3×3 matrices (NOT unimodular) is graded. So the positive terms T^+ are an ideal, and $T^+ / (T^+)^2$ is a graded vector space for which $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ acts preserving degree.

Their idea is as follows:

- The ring of invariants of 3×3 matrices (NOT unimodular) is graded. So the positive terms T^+ are an ideal, and $T^+ / (T^+)^2$ is a graded vector space for which $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ acts preserving degree.
- They determine the irreducible subspaces of this action by highest weight. The dimension of these subspaces is known classically.

Their idea is as follows:

- The ring of invariants of 3×3 matrices (NOT unimodular) is graded. So the positive terms T^+ are an ideal, and $T^+ / (T^+)^2$ is a graded vector space for which $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ acts preserving degree.
- They determine the irreducible subspaces of this action by highest weight. The dimension of these subspaces is known classically.
- If there was a further reduction after passing to unimodular invariants, then there would be a relation of the form $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{U}) = Q(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r)$ where \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{U} are words of the same form.

Their idea is as follows:

- The ring of invariants of 3×3 matrices (NOT unimodular) is graded. So the positive terms T^+ are an ideal, and $T^+ / (T^+)^2$ is a graded vector space for which $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ acts preserving degree.
- They determine the irreducible subspaces of this action by highest weight. The dimension of these subspaces is known classically.
- If there was a further reduction after passing to unimodular invariants, then there would be a relation of the form $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{U}) = Q(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r)$ where \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{U} are words of the same form.
- Since the unimodular invariants are filtered (NOT graded), the homogeneous left-hand-side has the same degree as the possibly non-homogeneous right-hand-side.

- Therefore, there exists polynomial trace expressions f_1, \dots, f_r so $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}) - Q(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) = \sum f_i (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^3) - P(\mathbf{X}_i))$ in the ring of arbitrary 3×3 invariants.

- Therefore, there exists polynomial trace expressions f_1, \dots, f_r so $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}) - Q(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) = \sum f_i (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^3) - P(\mathbf{X}_i))$ in the ring of arbitrary 3×3 invariants.
- But since the degree of the left-hand-side and the degree on the right-hand-side must be equal the expressions $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})$ or $\text{tr}(\mathbf{U})$ cannot be part of any f_i (unless $\text{tr}(\mathbf{U})$ or $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})$ is of the form $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^3)$, but these were removed to begin with).

- Therefore, there exists polynomial trace expressions f_1, \dots, f_r so $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}) - Q(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) = \sum f_i (\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^3) - P(\mathbf{X}_i))$ in the ring of arbitrary 3×3 invariants.
- But since the degree of the left-hand-side and the degree on the right-hand-side must be equal the expressions $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})$ or $\text{tr}(\mathbf{U})$ cannot be part of any f_i (unless $\text{tr}(\mathbf{U})$ or $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})$ is of the form $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^3)$, but these were removed to begin with).
- And so we would have a further reduction in the ring of arbitrary invariants, which is a contradiction.

Let $N_r(x, y)$ be the number of generators with respect to the free group of rank r of word length x in y letters. Note that letters with exponent -1 have length 2.

Let $N_r(x, y)$ be the number of generators with respect to the free group of rank r of word length x in y letters. Note that letters with exponent -1 have length 2.

$N_r(1, 1) = r$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X})$
$N_r(2, 1) = r$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})$
$N_r(2, 2) = \binom{r}{2}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y})$
$N_r(3, 2) = 2\binom{r}{2}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$
$N_r(3, 3) = 2\binom{r}{3}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z})$
$N_r(4, 2) = \binom{r}{2}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$
$N_r(4, 3) = 6\binom{r}{3}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}^{-1})$
$N_r(4, 4) = 5\binom{r}{4}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}),$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y})$

Before, finishing the table let's illustrate how the proof would go for the example $N_r(4, 4)$:

Before, finishing the table let's illustrate how the proof would go for the example $N_r(4, 4)$:

- The irreducible spaces consisting of degree four generators have partitions: $(2, 2)$ and $(2, 1, 1)$.

Before, finishing the table let's illustrate how the proof would go for the example $N_r(4, 4)$:

- The irreducible spaces consisting of degree four generators have partitions: $(2, 2)$ and $(2, 1, 1)$.
- The dimension of a GL_r representation having partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is: $\prod \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i}{j - i}$.

Before, finishing the table let's illustrate how the proof would go for the example $N_r(4, 4)$:

- The irreducible spaces consisting of degree four generators have partitions: $(2, 2)$ and $(2, 1, 1)$.
- The dimension of a GL_r representation having partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is: $\prod \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i}{j - i}$.

GL_r	Dimension	Basis
$r = 2$	1	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$
$r = 3$	9	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^{-1}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^{-1})$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{YZ}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{ZY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{XZ}),$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{ZX}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}\mathbf{XY}), \text{tr}(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}\mathbf{YX})$
$r = 4$	35	$\binom{r}{2}$ of $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), 6\binom{r}{3}$ of $\text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{YZ}),$ $\implies 5$ of $\text{tr}(\mathbf{WXYZ})$

- However, there are 6 such generators. We want to explicitly construct the minimal generating set, so we want the relation.

- However, there are 6 such generators. We want to explicitly construct the minimal generating set, so we want the relation.
- $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) =$
 $\mathbf{XZY} + \mathbf{ZXY} + \mathbf{YXZ} + \mathbf{YZX} + \mathbf{XYZ} + \mathbf{Z Y X}.$

- However, there are 6 such generators. We want to explicitly construct the minimal generating set, so we want the relation.
- $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{XZY} + \mathbf{ZXY} + \mathbf{YXZ} + \mathbf{YZX} + \mathbf{XYZ} + \mathbf{Z Y X}$.
- Thus, $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Z Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z X Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y X Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y Z X}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Y Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z Y X}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{W} (\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y})))$, which allows us to eliminate exactly one of the six generators on the left-hand-side.

- However, there are 6 such generators. We want to explicitly construct the minimal generating set, so we want the relation.
- $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{XZY} + \mathbf{ZXY} + \mathbf{YXZ} + \mathbf{YZX} + \mathbf{XYZ} + \mathbf{Z Y X}$.
- Thus, $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Z Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z X Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y X Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y Z X}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Y Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z Y X}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{W} (\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y})))$, which allows us to eliminate exactly one of the six generators on the left-hand-side.
- In all other cases, we have such explicit reductions. However, they are not all as succinct and uniform.

- However, there are 6 such generators. We want to explicitly construct the minimal generating set, so we want the relation.
- $\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{XZY} + \mathbf{ZXY} + \mathbf{YXZ} + \mathbf{YZX} + \mathbf{XYZ} + \mathbf{Z Y X}$.
- Thus, $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Z Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z X Y}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y X Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Y Z X}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W X Y Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{W Z Y X}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{W} (\text{pol}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) - \text{pol}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Y})))$, which allows us to eliminate exactly one of the six generators on the left-hand-side.
- In all other cases, we have such explicit reductions. However, they are not all as succinct and uniform.
- For instance, in the case of words of length 6 in 6 letters there are 120 generators but only 15 are necessary. The relations are of two types: 37 of $\text{tr}(\mathbf{W} \sum \mathbf{X Y Z})$ and 68 of $\text{tr}(\mathbf{U V W X Y Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{U V W Y X Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{V U W X Y Z}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{V U W Y X Z})$.

Here is the rest of the table:

Here is the rest of the table:

$N_r(5, 3) = 9\binom{r}{3}$	$3\binom{r}{3}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYZY}^{-1}), 6\binom{r}{3}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{XY}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^{-1})$
$N_r(5, 4) = 20\binom{r}{4}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{WXYZ}^{-1})$
$N_r(5, 5) = 12\binom{r}{5}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{UVWXY})$
$N_r(6, 2) = \binom{r}{2}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{XYX}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$
$N_r(6, 3) = 7\binom{r}{3}$	$6\binom{r}{3}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{XYZ}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}), \binom{r}{3}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}^{-1})$
$N_r(6, 4) = 26\binom{r}{4}$	$18\binom{r}{4}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{WXYZ}^{-1}), 8\binom{r}{4}: \text{tr}(\mathbf{WXYZ}\mathbf{Y}^{-1})$
$N_r(6, 5) = 35\binom{r}{5}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{VWXYZ}^{-1})$
$N_r(6, 6) = 15\binom{r}{6}$	$\text{tr}(\mathbf{UVWXYZ})$

Algebraic Independence

The following subsets of these minimal generators are algebraically independent:

Algebraic Independence

The following subsets of these minimal generators are algebraically independent:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^{-1}),$$

Algebraic Independence

The following subsets of these minimal generators are algebraically independent:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1), \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}$$

Algebraic Independence

The following subsets of these minimal generators are algebraically independent:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1), \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}$$

and any of the following sets of three:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i)\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}.$$

Algebraic Independence

The following subsets of these minimal generators are algebraically independent:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1), \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}$$

and any of the following sets of three:

$$\{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i)\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}, \\ \{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{X}_i^{-1}), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}_2^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i^{-1})\}.$$

The index i ranges from 3 to r . So in all cases we get the Krull dimension of $8r - 8$. Consequently, these sets are maximal.

Sketch of Proof

Sketch of Proof

- We prove this by induction. For $r = 1$ the number of minimal generators equals the dimension so there cannot be any relation, and for $r = 2$ this was shown earlier in (L,2006).

Sketch of Proof

- We prove this by induction. For $r = 1$ the number of minimal generators equals the dimension so there cannot be any relation, and for $r = 2$ this was shown earlier in (L,2006).
- For $r \geq 3$ we calculate the Jacobian matrix of these $8r - 8$ functions in the $8r - 8$ independent variables:
 - (from \mathbf{X}_1) $x_{11}^1, x_{22}^1,$
 - (from \mathbf{X}_2) x_{ij}^2 ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$),
 - (from \mathbf{X}_k) x_{ij}^k ($3 \leq k \leq r, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, without x_{31}^k)

Sketch of Proof

- We prove this by induction. For $r = 1$ the number of minimal generators equals the dimension so there cannot be any relation, and for $r = 2$ this was shown earlier in (L,2006).
- For $r \geq 3$ we calculate the Jacobian matrix of these $8r - 8$ functions in the $8r - 8$ independent variables:
 - (from \mathbf{X}_1) x_{11}^1, x_{22}^1 ,
 - (from \mathbf{X}_2) x_{ij}^2 ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$),
 - (from \mathbf{X}_k) x_{ij}^k ($3 \leq k \leq r, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, without x_{31}^k)
- Putting the last 8 functions in the last 8 rows we get a block diagonal matrix and so by induction it remains to show that the last eight traces are independent in the variables from the last matrix \mathbf{X}_r .

- Using *Mathematica*, we calculate this subdeterminant and evaluate at random unimodular matrices; finding it non-zero.

- Using *Mathematica*, we calculate this subdeterminant and evaluate at random unimodular matrices; finding it non-zero.
- If there was a relation the determinant would be identically zero and so any non-zero evaluation shows independence. \square

Outer Automorphisms and Symmetry

Given any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, we define $a_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}])$ by extending the following mapping

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\alpha(\mathbf{W})).$$

Outer Automorphisms and Symmetry

Given any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, we define $a_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}])$ by extending the following mapping

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\alpha(\mathbf{W})).$$

If $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, then there exists $u \in \mathbf{F}_r$ so for all $w \in \mathbf{F}_r$,

$$\alpha(w) = uwu^{-1}.$$

Outer Automorphisms and Symmetry

Given any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, we define $a_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}])$ by extending the following mapping

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\alpha(\mathbf{W})).$$

If $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, then there exists $u \in \mathbf{F}_r$ so for all $w \in \mathbf{F}_r$,

$$\alpha(w) = uwu^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{U}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}).$$

Outer Automorphisms and Symmetry

Given any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, we define $a_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}])$ by extending the following mapping

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\alpha(\mathbf{W})).$$

If $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathbf{F}_r)$, then there exists $u \in \mathbf{F}_r$ so for all $w \in \mathbf{F}_r$,

$$\alpha(w) = uwu^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_\alpha(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W})) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{UWU}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}).$$

Thus $\text{Out}(\mathbf{F}_r) = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_r)/\text{Inn}(\mathbf{F}_r)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$.

By results of Nielsen $\text{Out}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ is generated by the following mappings

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\iota = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1^{-1} \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In this case ($r = 2$) there is an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent variables; and in this way distinguishing them.

In this case ($r = 2$) there is an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent variables; and in this way distinguishing them.

- The action is induced by the following elements of the $\text{Out}(\mathbf{F}_2)$:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1 \end{cases} \quad \iota = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1^{-1} \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases}$$

In this case ($r = 2$) there is an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent variables; and in this way distinguishing them.

- The action is induced by the following elements of the $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}_2)$:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1 \end{cases} \quad \iota = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1^{-1} \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases}$$

- The group generated is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 .

In this case ($r = 2$) there is an order 8 subgroup which acts as a permutation group on the independent variables; and in this way distinguishing them.

- The action is induced by the following elements of the $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}_2)$:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1 \end{cases} \quad \iota = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1^{-1} \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases}$$

- The group generated is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 .
- We wish to generalize this to arbitrary rank r .

For the general case described above we have:

- The order two morphism which transposes x_1 and x_2 preserves only the last two sets of independent variables. So we have order two symmetry there.

For the general case described above we have:

- The order two morphism which transposes x_1 and x_2 preserves only the last two sets of independent variables. So we have order two symmetry there.
- The other morphism which only inverts x_1 preserves only the first three sets. Again giving order two symmetry.

For the general case described above we have:

- The order two morphism which transposes x_1 and x_2 preserves only the last two sets of independent variables. So we have order two symmetry there.
- The other morphism which only inverts x_1 preserves only the first three sets. Again giving order two symmetry.
- Again it seems that these morphisms are distinguishing algebraic independence by their action (on other generators they do not act as permutations, but as polynomial maps).

Work in Progress

Work in Progress

- Although we discussed five independent sets, there are many more. It appears that the other morphisms, like in form to the two described above, permute the sets themselves.

Work in Progress

- Although we discussed five independent sets, there are many more. It appears that the other morphisms, like in form to the two described above, permute the sets themselves.
- The general theory suggests that there should be a set of independent variables for which the entire ring is integral over. It seems that these sets are not the correct ones, but perhaps linear combinations of the elements, chosen so the sets have the full order 8 symmetry, will work (in the rank 2 case the ring is integral over the independent generators!).

- In the rank 2 case, the symmetry helped to describe the ideal of relations. The next problem, to finish “Procesi’s challenge”, is to describe the ideal. We hope the symmetry described, and further symmetry left to uncover, will help make this task possible. (Note: in the rank 3 case the ideal is already very complex, 45 generators and 16 dimensions!)

- In the rank 2 case, the symmetry helped to describe the ideal of relations. The next problem, to finish “Procesi’s challenge”, is to describe the ideal. We hope the symmetry described, and further symmetry left to uncover, will help make this task possible. (Note: in the rank 3 case the ideal is already very complex, 45 generators and 16 dimensions!)

Reference available by request.