

Bousfield lattices, quotients, ring maps, and non-Noetherian rings

Luke Wolcott
University of Western Ontario

January 8th, 2013

OVERVIEW

- ▶ The bigger picture and background
- ▶ Bousfield lattice substructure
- ▶ Quotients of lattices and lattices of quotients
- ▶ Ring maps, and specific non-Noetherian examples

Let T be a tensor-triangulated category generated by the tensor unit 1 .

For example, take $T = D(R)$, where R is a commutative ring, $D(R)$ is the derived category of unbounded chain complexes.

The tensor product $X \wedge Y := X \otimes_R^L Y$, and R is the unit. Every $X \in D(R)$ can be built from R using triangles, retracts, and coproducts.

Other examples: the stable homotopy category, the stable module category $StMod(kG)$ when G is a finite p -group and $char(k) = p$.

Let $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ be a full subcategory.

Definition

1. \mathbf{S} is a *thick subcategory* if it is closed under triangles and retracts (so $X \amalg Y \in \mathbf{S}$ implies $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}$).
2. $\text{th}(X)$ is the smallest thick subcategory containing X .
3. \mathbf{S} is a *localizing subcategory* if it is closed under triangles and coproducts (and hence retracts).
4. $\text{loc}(X)$ is the smallest localizing subcategory containing X .

Henceforth, let \mathbf{T} be a tensor-triangulated category with $\mathbf{T} = \text{loc}(\mathbf{1})$. The thick subcategory $\text{th}(\mathbf{1})$ is the *finite objects*.

BIG GOAL:

Classify the thick subcategories of finite objects in \mathcal{T} , and the localizing subcategories of \mathcal{T} .

Done for:

- ▶ Thick subcats of finites: the stable homotopy category, $D(R)$, $StMod(kG)$.
- ▶ Localizing subcats: $D(R)$ when R is Noetherian, $StMod(kG)$.

Motto: “Localizing subcategories are hard.”

Question.

Is there a set of localizing subcategories?

The answer is yes for:

- ▶ the stable homotopy category [Okhawa 1989]
- ▶ a Brown category [Dwyer-Palmieri 2001]
- ▶ a well-generated category [Iyengar-Krause 2011]
 - e.g. whenever $T = \text{loc}(1)$.
- ▶ any category with a combinatorial model structure [Casacuberta-Gutiérrez-Rosický 2012]

Question.

If there is a set, then what?

Definition

The *Bousfield class* of an object $X \in \mathsf{T}$ is $\langle X \rangle = \{W \mid W \wedge X = 0\}$.

Every Bousfield class is a localizing subcategory [show], so there is a set of them.

Bousfield classes are given a partial ordering by reverse inclusion, so we say $\langle X \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle$ when

$$W \wedge Y = 0 \text{ implies } W \wedge X = 0.$$

Then $\langle 0 \rangle$ is the minimum, and $\langle 1 \rangle$ is the maximum [show].

Note that $\langle X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ if and only if $X = 0$.

There is a join operation given by

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} \langle X_i \rangle := \left\langle \coprod_{i \in I} X_i \right\rangle.$$

There is a meet given by

$$\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle Y \rangle := \bigvee_{\langle W \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle \text{ and } \langle W \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle} \langle W \rangle.$$

Thus the collection of Bousfield classes forms a complete lattice, called the *Bousfield lattice* $\mathbf{BL}(\mathbf{T})$. We'll do stuff with it.

Question.

But is every localizing subcategory a Bousfield class?

This was conjectured for the stable homotopy category in [Hovey-Palmieri 1999].

The answer is yes for $D(R)$ when R is Noetherian, and for $StMod(kG)$ (and slightly more generally).

In October [Stevenson 2012] found a non-Noetherian ring S such that the answer is no for $D(S)$.

Theme for Bousfield lattice and localizing subcategories:

When R is Noetherian, $D(R)$ is very nice... *too* nice.

The stable homotopy category is very hard... and *complicated*.

Some are working to extend the niceness as much as possible.

Some are trying to simplify the category of spectra using localizations. Some are looking at non-Noetherian rings. In particular, we know a lot about $D(\Lambda)$ for

$$\Lambda = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]}{(x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, x_3^{n_3}, \dots)},$$

where k is a countable field, $n_i \geq 2$, and $\deg(x_i) = 2^i$.

IN THIS TALK

Let \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} be tensor-triangulated categories generated by their tensor units. Sometimes a functor $F : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ will induce a map of lattices

$$\mathrm{BL}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BL}(\mathbf{T}), \text{ where } \langle X \rangle \mapsto \langle FX \rangle.$$

What can this tell us about $\mathrm{BL}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathrm{BL}(\mathbf{T})$?

Specifically:

- ▶ the Verdier quotient functor $\pi : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}/\langle Z \rangle$
- ▶ a ring map between two commutative rings $f : R \rightarrow S$ induces $f_{\bullet} : D(R) \rightarrow D(S)$ via extension of scalars.

BOUSFIELD LATTICE SUBSTRUCTURE

The tensor (smash) product gives another operation on Bousfield classes:

$$\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle Y \rangle := \langle X \wedge Y \rangle.$$

In general $\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle Y \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle \smile \langle Y \rangle$.

Definition

$$\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{T}) = \{ \langle X \rangle \text{ such that } \langle X \wedge X \rangle = \langle X \rangle \}.$$

This is a sublattice of $\mathrm{BL}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proposition. (Bousfield)

In DL the meet agrees with the smash. Hence DL is a distributive lattice.

Definition

1. $\langle X \rangle \in \mathbf{BL}$ is *complemented* if there exists $\langle X^c \rangle$ such that

$$\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle X^c \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle \text{ and } \langle X \rangle \vee \langle X^c \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle.$$

2. $\mathbf{BA}(\mathbf{T}) = \{\text{complemented } \langle X \rangle\} \subseteq \mathbf{BL}(\mathbf{T}).$

Note that complements, if they exist, are unique. \mathbf{BA} is a Boolean algebra, and

$$\mathbf{BA} \subseteq \mathbf{DL} \subseteq \mathbf{BL}.$$

What we know:

- ▶ If R is Noetherian, then in $D(R)$ we have $\mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{DL} = \mathbf{BL}$.
- ▶ In $D(\Lambda)$, $I\Lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_k^*(\Lambda, k)$ has $I\Lambda \wedge I\Lambda = 0$, so $\langle I\Lambda \rangle \notin \mathbf{DL}$.

Furthermore, $\mathbf{BA} = \{\langle 0 \rangle, \langle \Lambda \rangle\}$.

- ▶ In the stable homotopy category, the Brown-Comenetz dual IS^0 of the sphere has $IS^0 \wedge IS^0 = 0$, so $\mathbf{DL} \subsetneq \mathbf{BL}$.

Every finite spectrum $\langle F \rangle \in \mathbf{BA}$. But, for example, $\langle H\mathbb{Z} \rangle \in \mathbf{DL} \setminus \mathbf{BA}$.

Definition

For any $\langle X \rangle \in \mathbf{BL}$, define

$$a\langle X \rangle = \bigvee_{\langle X \wedge Y \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle} \langle Y \rangle.$$

Note that $\langle X \rangle \wedge a\langle X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $\langle X \rangle \vee a\langle X \rangle \leq \langle 1 \rangle$.

Lemma. (Bousfield)

1. If $\langle X \rangle$ is complemented, then $\langle X^c \rangle = a\langle X \rangle$.
2. $\langle Y \rangle \leq a\langle X \rangle$ if and only if $\langle Y \rangle \wedge \langle X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$._[show]
3. $\langle X \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle$ if and only if $a\langle X \rangle \geq a\langle Y \rangle$.
4. $a^2\langle X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$.

Definition

We say $X \in \mathsf{T}$ is *square-zero* if it is nonzero but $X \wedge X = 0$.

Recall $\langle 0 \rangle \leq \langle X \wedge X \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle$ for all X .

Proposition. (W.)

There are no square-zero objects in T if and only if
 $\mathsf{BA} = \mathsf{DL} = \mathsf{BL}$.

Proof. [show]

QUOTIENTS

Given a localizing subcategory \mathcal{S} of a tensor-triangulated category \mathcal{T} , the Verdier quotient \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S} is tensor-triangulated, and the quotient functor $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$ is exact.

Question.

Does π induce a map on Bousfield lattices?

$$\mathrm{BL}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BL}(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}), \text{ where } \langle X \rangle \mapsto \langle \pi X \rangle.$$

[Aside: $\pi(\langle X \rangle)$ is not usually triangulated.]

Does $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ imply $\langle \pi X \rangle = \langle \pi Y \rangle$?

Does $\langle X \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle$ imply $\langle \pi X \rangle \leq \langle \pi Y \rangle$?

In general, no. However:

Proposition. (W.)

Suppose $\mathcal{S} = \langle Z \rangle$ for some $\langle Z \rangle$. Then $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\langle Z \rangle$ induces an order-preserving map of lattices $\mathrm{BL}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BL}(\mathcal{T}/\langle Z \rangle)$.

Definition

$$\langle X \rangle \downarrow = \{ \langle Y \rangle \mid \langle Y \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle \} \subseteq \mathbf{BL}.$$

$$\langle X \rangle \uparrow = \{ \langle Y \rangle \mid \langle Y \rangle \geq \langle X \rangle \} \subseteq \mathbf{BL}.$$

We can form a quotient lattice $\mathbf{BL}/\langle X \rangle \downarrow$ of equivalence classes of Bousfield classes, where $[\langle Y \rangle] = [\langle Z \rangle]$ if and only if $\langle Y \rangle \vee \langle X \rangle = \langle Z \rangle \vee \langle X \rangle$.

Likewise $[\langle Y \rangle] \leq [\langle Z \rangle]$ in $\mathbf{BL}/\langle X \rangle \downarrow$ if and only if $\langle Y \rangle \vee \langle X \rangle \leq \langle Z \rangle \vee \langle X \rangle$ in \mathbf{BL} .

There is an isomorphism of lattices $\mathbf{BL}/\langle X \rangle \downarrow \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle X \rangle \uparrow$, given by $[\langle Y \rangle] \mapsto \langle Y \rangle \vee \langle X \rangle$.

The picture is this:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{BL}(\mathsf{T}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{BL}(\mathsf{T}/\langle \mathsf{Z} \rangle) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\pi} & \\
 \text{BL}(\mathsf{T})/(\mathsf{a}\langle \mathsf{Z} \rangle \downarrow) & &
 \end{array}$$

Proposition. (W.)

The map $\bar{\pi} : [\langle X \rangle] \mapsto \langle \pi X \rangle$ is a well-defined, order-preserving epimorphism of lattices, such that if $\bar{\pi}[\langle X \rangle] = \langle 0 \rangle$ then $[\langle X \rangle] = [\langle 0 \rangle]$.

Proposition. (W.)

If $\langle Z \rangle$ is complemented, then this is an isomorphism

$$\langle Z^c \rangle \uparrow \cong \text{BL}(\mathbb{T}) / \langle Z^c \rangle \downarrow \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{BL}(\mathbb{T} / \langle Z \rangle).$$

Corollary. (W.)

If there are no square-zero objects in \mathbb{T} , then $\bar{\pi}$ is an isomorphism for all $\langle Z \rangle$.

Proposition. (W.)

If $\langle Z \rangle \in \text{DL} \setminus \text{BA}$, then $\bar{\pi}$ is NOT an isomorphism of lattices.

This is the case with the stable homotopy category, if we take $\langle Z \rangle = \langle H\mathbb{F}_p \rangle$. Then, in fact, we have $IS^0 \in \langle H\mathbb{F}_p \rangle \vee a\langle H\mathbb{F}_p \rangle < \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$. This is also the case in $D(\Lambda)$, if we take $\langle Z \rangle = \langle k \rangle$. Then we have $I\Lambda \in \langle k \rangle \vee a\langle k \rangle < \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$.

RING MAPS

Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring map between commutative rings. This induces a map on modules, via extension of scalars.

$$f_* : \mathbf{Mod}\text{-}R \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}\text{-}S, \text{ by } M \mapsto M \otimes_R S.$$

This induces a functor $f_* : Ch(R) \rightarrow Ch(S)$, which is left adjoint to $f^* : Ch(S) \rightarrow Ch(R)$ induced by the forgetful functor.

By abstract nonsense, there is a pair of adjoint functors on the derived categories

$$f_\bullet = L(f_*) : D(R) \rightleftarrows D(S) : R(f^*) = f^\bullet.$$

Lemma.

1. $f_{\bullet}R = S$
2. f_{\bullet} is exact, and commutes with coproducts
3. $f_{\bullet}(X \wedge Y) = f_{\bullet}X \wedge f_{\bullet}Y$
4. (Every object is fibrant, so) $f^{\bullet}(Z) = f^*(Z)$, and f^{\bullet} is exact and commutes with products and coproducts.

In general, $f^{\bullet}(X \wedge Y) \neq f^{\bullet}X \wedge f^{\bullet}Y$.

Proposition. (W.)

f_{\bullet} and f^{\bullet} induce order-preserving maps on Bousfield lattices

$$f_{\bullet} : \mathbf{BL}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{BL}_S, \text{ where } \langle X \rangle \mapsto \langle f_{\bullet}X \rangle, \text{ and}$$

$$f^{\bullet} : \mathbf{BL}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{BL}_R, \text{ where } \langle Y \rangle \mapsto \langle f^{\bullet}Y \rangle.$$

Lemma.

For all $A \in D(R)$ and $B \in D(S)$ we have

$$f_{\bullet}A \wedge B = 0 \text{ if and only if } A \wedge f^{\bullet}B = 0.$$

So $\langle f_{\bullet}X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ iff $X \wedge f^{\bullet}S = 0$ iff $\langle X \rangle \leq a\langle f^{\bullet}S \rangle$.

Definition

$$\langle M_f \rangle = \bigvee_{\langle f_{\bullet}X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle} \langle X \rangle = \bigvee_{\langle X \wedge f^{\bullet}S \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle} \langle X \rangle = a\langle f^{\bullet}S \rangle.$$

Then $\langle f_{\bullet}X \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ if and only if $\langle X \rangle \leq \langle M_f \rangle$, i.e. $\text{Ker} f_{\bullet} = \langle M_f \rangle \downarrow$.

Lemma. (W.)

The following are equivalent:

1. $f_{\bullet} f^{\bullet} \langle X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$ for all $\langle X \rangle$
2. $f^{\bullet} Y \wedge f^{\bullet} X = 0$ if and only if $f^{\bullet}(Y \wedge X) = 0$
3. $f^{\bullet} \langle Y \wedge X \rangle = \langle f^{\bullet} Y \rangle \wedge \langle f^{\bullet} X \rangle$ for all Y and X .

Proposition. (W.)

Assume $\langle f_{\bullet} f^{\bullet} X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$ for all $\langle X \rangle$.

1. f_{\bullet} sends \mathbf{DL}_R onto \mathbf{DL}_S and the map f^{\bullet} injects \mathbf{DL}_S into \mathbf{DL}_R .
2. f_{\bullet} sends \mathbf{BA}_R onto \mathbf{BA}_S , and if $\langle f^{\bullet} S \rangle \vee \langle M_f \rangle = \langle R \rangle$ then f^{\bullet} injects \mathbf{BA}_S into \mathbf{BA}_R .

Recall $\text{Ker } f_{\bullet} = \langle M_f \rangle \downarrow = (a \langle f^{\bullet} S \rangle) \downarrow$.

The picture is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{BL}(R) & \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet}} & \text{BL}(S) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \exists & \uparrow (\dagger) \\
 \text{BL}(R)/\langle M_f \rangle \downarrow & \xrightarrow{(*)} & \text{BL}(D(R)/\langle f^{\bullet} S \rangle)
 \end{array}$$

The map $(*)$ is an isomorphism when $\langle f^{\bullet} S \rangle \vee \langle M_f \rangle = \langle R \rangle$.

The map (\dagger) exists and is an isomorphism when $f_{\bullet} f^{\bullet} \langle X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$ for all X .

EXAMPLE WITH SOME NON-NOETHERIAN RINGS

Definition

Fix a prime p and choose $n_i \geq 2$. Let $\deg(x_i) = 2^i$ and define the following.

$$1. \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]}{(x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, x_3^{n_3} \dots)},$$

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]}{(x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, x_3^{n_3} \dots)},$$

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]}{(x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, x_3^{n_3} \dots)}.$$

2. Let $g : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}/p\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the projection map.

3. Let $h : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the inclusion map.

4. Let $g_{\bullet} : D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \rightleftarrows D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}) : g^{\bullet}$ and

$h_{\bullet} : D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \rightleftarrows D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}) : h^{\bullet}$ be the induced adjoint pairs.

It turns out that $\langle g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle$ and $\langle h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rangle$ are a complemented pair in $\text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})$. Recall that $\text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and $\text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})$ have no nontrivial complemented classes.

Furthermore, $\langle g_\bullet g^\bullet X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$ for all $X \in D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p})$. Thus we get the following picture.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) & \xrightarrow{g^\bullet} & \text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \cong \\
 \text{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) / \langle h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rangle \downarrow & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \text{BL}(D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) / \langle g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle)
 \end{array}$$

On the other hand, $\langle h_\bullet h^\bullet Y \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is not true for all $Y \in D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Theorem. (W.)

$$\mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle \downarrow \times \langle h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rangle \downarrow, \text{ via} \\ \langle X \rangle \mapsto (\langle X \wedge g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle, \langle X \wedge h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rangle).$$

From the work we did with quotients, we get the following.

Proposition. (W.)

$$\langle g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle \downarrow \cong \mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}).$$

In fact, the inclusion functors induce the following isomorphisms.

Lemma. (W.)

$$\mathrm{BL}(\mathrm{loc}(g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle g^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rangle \downarrow \subseteq \mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{BL}(\mathrm{loc}(h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rangle \downarrow \subseteq \mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}).$$

Putting all this together, we get a complete splitting of the Bousfield lattice of $D(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})$ and its sublattices.

Theorem. (W.)

$$\mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \cong \mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \times \mathrm{BL}(\mathrm{loc}(h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})),$$

$$\mathrm{DL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \cong \mathrm{DL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \times \mathrm{DL}(\mathrm{loc}(h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})),$$

$$\mathrm{BA}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}) \cong \mathrm{BA}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \times \mathrm{BA}(\mathrm{loc}(h^\bullet \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})).$$

Corollary. (W.)

The cardinality of $\mathrm{BL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})$ is $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$.

... Thank you.