

Duality Principles for Fully Non-linear Elliptic Equations

Diogo Aguiar Gomes

Abstract. In this paper we use duality theory to associate certain measures to fully-nonlinear elliptic equations. These measures are the natural extension of the Mather measures to controlled stochastic processes and associated second-order elliptic equations. We apply these ideas to prove new a-priori estimates for smooth solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

Supported in part by FCT/POCTI/FEDER

Departamento de Matemática,
Instituto Superior Técnico
Av. Rovisco Pais
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
email:dgomes@math.ist.utl.pt

1. Introduction

This paper builds upon the connections between variational principles in classical mechanics, such as Aubry-Mather theory, and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and tries to illustrate how similar techniques can be used to study fully-nonlinear elliptic equations and associated controlled Markov processes.

The variational principle in Classical Mechanics asserts that the trajectories $x(\cdot)$ of a mechanical system are critical points of the *action*:

$$\int_0^T L(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt.$$

Of particular interest are the minimizers of the action. In Mather's theory, the problem of determining minimizers is relaxed and, instead, one looks for probability measures $\mu(x, v)$ which are generalized curves, that is,

$$\int v D_x \phi(x) d\mu = 0,$$

for all C^1 functions $\phi(x)$ and minimize the action, which is:

$$(1) \quad \int L(x, v) d\mu.$$

The support of such minimizing measures, the *Mather set*, is invariant under the *Euler-Lagrange equations*

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_v L(x, \dot{x}) - D_x L(x, \dot{x}) = 0.$$

Since the work of J. Mather [Mat91] this area of research has been extremely active. Several authors [E99], [Fat97a, Fat97b, Fat98a, Fat98b], [EG01a, EG01b], among others, have studied the connection between Mather's theory and Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations. The minimization problem (1) is a infinite dimensional linear programming problem. The dual problem is related with the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$(2) \quad H(D_x u, x) = \overline{H}.$$

As in finite dimensional linear programming, the dual problem yields important information about the primal and vice-versa. For instance, if μ is a minimizing measure and u a solution of (2) then μ is supported on a graph $(x, v(x))$, with $v(x)$ is defined through

$$v(x) = -D_p H(D_x u, x).$$

In the other direction, one can use the measure to prove partial regularity [EG01a, Gom03] for the solutions to (2), and, in fact, one has

$$\int |D_{xx}^2 u|^2 d\mu \leq C,$$

which is a weaker version of the Lipschitz graph theorem for Mather sets [Mat91].

In this paper we focus our attention at the class of nonlinear elliptic operators which have the form:

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \sup_{\omega \in U} [-A_\omega u - L(\omega, x)].$$

The set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the control space. We assume U to be a closed and convex set. The linear operator $A_\omega u$ is, for each ω , a (possibly degenerate) second-order elliptic operator whose zeroth-order coefficient vanishes, that is,

$$A_\omega u = a_\omega(x) : D_{xx}^2 u + b_\omega(x) \cdot D_x u,$$

in which $a : b = \text{Tr}(a^T b)$. We assume that the Lagrangian $L(\omega, x)$ is a convex and superlinear (if U is unbounded) function in ω . Observe that $H(M, p, x)$ is jointly convex in (M, p) and monotone in M , that is,

$$H(M + B, p, x) \geq H(M, p, x)$$

for all non-negative matrices B . This class of operators arises in controlled Stochastic Dynamics and has been studied extensively, see, for instance, [CC95], [FS93] and the references therein for an introduction to fully nonlinear elliptic equations and stochastic optimal control theory.

We are particularly interested in periodic solutions to the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H}.$$

We associate to this equation a variational problem in a space of measures. The dual of this variational problem is closely related with the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. We apply these methods to study regularity of second-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations, extending some of the

results from [Gom02b] concerning generalizations of Aubry-Mather theory to a stochastic setting.

The outline of the paper is as follows: in section 2 we prove a representation formula for \overline{H} , and study its connections with generalized Mather measures. In section 3 we study some applications to prove a-priori regularity results for smooth solutions.

2. Duality

Proposition 1. *There is at most one value \overline{H} for which*

$$(3) \quad H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H}.$$

has a periodic viscosity solution.

PROOF. Suppose, by contradiction, $\overline{H}_1 > \overline{H}_2$ are such that (3) admits a viscosity solutions u_1 and u_2 for $\overline{H} = \overline{H}_1, \overline{H}_2$. We may assume $v_1 \equiv u_1 + C > u_2$, for a sufficiently large positive constant C . For ϵ sufficiently small

$$\epsilon v_1 + H(D_{xx}^2 v_1, D_x v_1, x) \geq \epsilon u_2 + H(D_{xx}^2 u_2, D_x u_2, x).$$

in the viscosity sense. The comparison principle for viscosity solutions implies $v_1 \leq u_2$, which is a contradiction. \blacksquare

Proposition 2. *Suppose that there exists a viscosity solution u of (3) then*

$$(4) \quad \overline{H} = \inf_{\phi \in C_{per}^2} \sup_x H(D_{xx}^2 \phi, D_x \phi, x).$$

in which the infimum is taken over all C^2 periodic functions.

REMARK. In the case of first order Hamiltonians this was proved in [CIPP98]. A proof in for a special class of 2nd order equations can be found in [Gom02b]

PROOF. Let

$$\overline{H}^* = \inf_{\phi \in C_{per}^2} \sup_x H(D_{xx}^2 \phi, D_x \phi, x).$$

At some point x_0 , $u - \phi$ has a local minimum. By the viscosity property

$$H(D_{xx}^2\phi, D_x\phi, x_0) \geq \overline{H}.$$

which implies $\overline{H}^* \geq \overline{H}$.

To prove the reverse inequality we need to recall a few facts concerning the sup convolution whose proof can be found in [FS93].

Lemma 1. *Suppose u is a viscosity of (3). Define*

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \sup_y \left[u(y) - \frac{|x - y|^2}{\epsilon} \right].$$

Then

1. $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ uniformly as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,
2. u_ϵ is semiconvex,
3. u_ϵ satisfies

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u_\epsilon, D_x u_\epsilon, x) \leq \overline{H} + O(\epsilon),$$

in the viscosity sense and almost everywhere.

Set $v_\epsilon = u_\epsilon * \eta_\epsilon$. Then

$$H(D_{xx}^2 v_\epsilon, D_x v_\epsilon, x) \leq \overline{H} + O(\epsilon),$$

thus $\overline{H}^* \leq \overline{H}$. ■

This representation formula can be best understood in light of a dual problem that involves generalized Mather measures. Choose a function $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \geq 1$, that satisfies

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x, \omega)}{\gamma(\omega)} = +\infty \quad \lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\omega|}{\gamma(\omega)} = 0,$$

we use the convention that if U is bounded then the previous identities are trivially satisfied.

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of Radon measures on $\mathbb{T}^n \times U$ that satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} \gamma d\mu \leq \infty.$$

Note that \mathcal{M} can be identified with the dual space of $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$, that is, the set of continuous functions ϕ such that

$$\|\phi\|_\gamma = \sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} \left| \frac{\phi}{\gamma} \right| \quad \lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi}{\gamma} = 0.$$

Define \mathcal{M}_0 to be the set of all measures in \mathcal{M} that satisfy the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} A_\omega \phi d\mu = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the set of all positive probability measures that belong to \mathcal{M} .

We look for measures in $\mathcal{M}_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_1$ that minimize the action

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} L(\omega, x) d\mu.$$

If L is strictly convex in ω and A_ω is linear in ω then $\omega = \omega(x)$ almost everywhere in the support of μ . However, we do not make this assumption and will work in a more general framework.

This variational problem is, in fact, a linear programming problem, in an infinite dimensional space, and by Fenchel-Rockafellar duality theory [Roc66] it admits a dual problem. This is close in spirit to the papers [VL78a], [VL78b], [LV80], [FV89], [FV88] and [Fle89], in which Fenchel-Rockafellar duality theory is used to analyze optimal control problems. In the first order case this dual problem has been identified and studied [CIPP98] and involves a Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Before proceeding we need to recall some facts concerning convex duality. Let E be a Banach space with dual E' . The pairing between E and E' is denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) . Suppose $h_1 : E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is a convex, lower semicontinuous function. The Legendre-Fenchel transform $h_1^* : E' \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ of h_1 is defined by

$$h_1^*(y) = \sup_{x \in E} (-(x, y) - h_1(x)),$$

for $y \in E'$. Similarly, for concave, upper semicontinuous functions $h_2 : E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ we define

$$h_2^*(y) = \inf_{x \in E} (-(x, y) - h_2(x)).$$

Theorem 1 (Rockafellar [Roc66]). *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space over \mathbb{R} with dual E^* . Suppose $h_1 : E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex and lower semicontinuous, $h_2 : E \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is concave and upper semicontinuous. Then*

$$(5) \quad \sup_x h_2(x) - h_1(x) = \inf_y h_1^*(y) - h_2^*(y),$$

provided that either h_1 or h_2 is continuous at some point where both functions are finite.

To apply this theorem we define two functions h_1 and h_2 on $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$ and consider the dual problem of

$$\sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} h_2(\phi) - h_1(\phi).$$

The first function is defined by

$$h_1(\phi) = \sup_{(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times U} [-\phi(x, \omega) - L(x, \omega)].$$

Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{cl} \{ \phi : \phi = A_\omega \varphi, \varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \},$$

and set

$$h_2(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \phi \in \mathcal{C} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3. *We have*

$$h_1^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} \int L d\mu & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_2^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The Legendre-Fenchel transform h_1^* of h_1 is

$$h_1^*(\mu) = \sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(- \int \phi d\mu - h_1(\phi) \right).$$

We claim that for all non-positive measures μ , $h_1^*(\mu) = \infty$.

Lemma 2. *If $\mu \not\geq 0$ then $h_1^*(\mu) = +\infty$.*

PROOF. If $\mu \not\geq 0$ there is a sequence of non-negative functions $\phi_n \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$ such that

$$\int -\phi_n d\mu \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, since $L \geq 0$,

$$\sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} -\phi_n - L \leq 0.$$

Thus, if $\mu \not\geq 0$, then $h_1^*(\mu) = +\infty$. ■

Lemma 3. *If $\mu \geq 0$ then*

$$h_1^*(\mu) \geq \int L d\mu + \sup_{\psi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(\int \psi d\mu - \sup \psi \right).$$

PROOF. Let L_n be a sequence of functions in $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$ increasing pointwise to L . Any function ϕ in $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$ can be written as $\phi = -L_n - \psi$, for some ψ also in $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(- \int \phi d\mu - h_1(\phi) \right) &= \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(\int L_n d\mu + \int \psi d\mu - \sup(L_n + \psi - L) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $L_n - L \leq 0$ implies

$$\sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} L_n - L \leq 0,$$

thus

$$\sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} (L_n + \psi - L) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} \psi.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(- \int \phi d\mu - h_1(\phi) \right) &\geq \\ &\geq \sup_{\psi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(\int L_n d\mu + \int \psi d\mu - \sup(\psi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem $\int L_n d\mu \rightarrow \int L d\mu$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(- \int \phi d\mu - h_1(\phi) \right) &\geq \\ &\geq \int L d\mu + \sup_{\psi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(\int \psi d\mu - \sup(\psi) \right), \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

If $\int L d\mu = +\infty$ then $h_1^*(\mu) = +\infty$. Also if $\int d\mu \neq 1$ then

$$\sup_{\psi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathcal{D})} \left(\int \psi d\mu - \sup \psi \right) \geq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha (\int d\mu - 1) = +\infty,$$

by taking $\psi \equiv \alpha$, constant. So, $h_1^*(\mu) = +\infty$, and therefore a finite value of h_1^* is only possible if $\int d\mu = 1$.

If $\int d\mu = 1$ we have, from the previous lemma,

$$h_1^*(\mu) \geq \int L d\mu,$$

by taking $\psi \equiv 0$.

Also, for any function ϕ

$$\int (-\phi - L) d\mu \leq \sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} (-\phi - L),$$

if $\int d\mu = 1$. Hence

$$\sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} \left(- \int \phi d\mu - h_1(\phi) \right) \leq \int L d\mu.$$

Thus

$$h_1^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} \int L d\mu & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we compute h_2^* . Note that if $\mu \notin \mathcal{M}_0$ there exists $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\int \hat{\phi} d\mu \neq 0.$$

and so

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}} - \int \phi d\mu \leq \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha \int \hat{\phi} d\mu = -\infty.$$

If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$ then $\int \phi d\mu = 0$, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore

$$h_2^*(\mu) = \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}} - \int \phi d\mu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

■

Theorem 1 yields then

$$\sup_{\phi \in C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)} h_2(\phi) - h_1(\phi) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} h_1^*(\mu) - h_2^*(\mu),$$

provided we prove that h_1 is continuous on the set $h_2 > -\infty$. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 4. h_1 is continuous.

PROOF. Suppose $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in C_γ^0 . Then $\|\phi_n\|_\gamma$ and $\|\phi\|_\gamma$ are bounded uniformly by some constant C . The growth condition on L implies that there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} -\hat{\phi} - L = \sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times (B_R \cap U)} -\hat{\phi} - L,$$

for all $\hat{\phi}$ in $C_\gamma^0(\mathbb{T}^n \times U)$ with $\|\hat{\phi}\|_\gamma < C$. On $B_R \cap U$, $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly and so

$$\sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} -\phi_n - L \rightarrow \sup_{\mathbb{T}^n \times U} -\phi - L.$$

■

The next theorem summarizes the main result of this section

Theorem 2.

$$(6) \quad \overline{H} = - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_1} \int L d\mu.$$

PROOF. This is a corollary to proposition 2 and the duality result proved above. ■

3. A-priori estimates

In this section we apply the ideas from the previous section to prove a-priori bounds for smooth solutions of second-order nonlinear equations such as the maximal eigenvalue operator, streamline diffusion controlled dynamics, and mean curvature flow.

Proposition 4. *Let u be a smooth periodic solution to*

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H},$$

and μ a corresponding Mather measure. Then

$$\omega \in \operatorname{argmin} [A_\omega u + L(x, \omega)]$$

μ almost everywhere.

PROOF. Since

$$-\overline{H} = -H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) \leq A_\omega u + L(x, \omega),$$

with equality if and only if $\omega \in \operatorname{argmin} [A_\omega u + L(x, \omega)]$, integrating with respect to μ yields

$$-\overline{H} \leq \int L(x, \omega) d\mu,$$

and, unless

$$\omega \in \operatorname{argmin} [A_\omega u + L(x, \omega)],$$

μ -a.e. this would yield a contradiction. ■

Proposition 5. *Suppose u is a smooth periodic solution to*

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H}.$$

Then, μ almost everywhere,

$$A_\omega \varphi = a_\omega : D_{xx}^2 \varphi + b_\omega \cdot D_x \varphi,$$

for any $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, with

$$a_\omega = -D_M H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x),$$

and

$$b_\omega = -D_p H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x).$$

PROOF. It suffices to observe that almost everywhere in the support of μ one has

$$A_\omega u + L(x, \omega) = -H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x),$$

and, for any $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$

$$A_\omega(u + \epsilon\varphi) + L(x, \omega) \geq -H(D_{xx}^2(u + \epsilon\varphi), D_x(u + \epsilon\varphi), x).$$

Thus, by subtracting the last two equations and sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ one gets the theorem. \blacksquare

Theorem 3. *Suppose u is a smooth periodic solution to*

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H}.$$

Then u satisfies the following a-priori identity: let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left[H_{M_{ij}M_{lm}} \xi_k D_{x_i x_j x_k}^3 u \xi_{k'} D_{x_i x_j x_{k'}}^3 u + 2H_{M_{ij}p_m} \xi_k D_{x_i x_j x_k}^3 u \xi_{k'} D_{x_m x_{k'}}^2 u \right. \\ & \quad \left. + H_{p_i p_j} \xi_k D_{x_i x_k}^2 u \xi_{k'} D_{x_j x_{k'}}^2 u \right] d\mu \\ &= - \int \left[2H_{M_{ij}x_m} \xi_k D_{x_i x_j x_k}^3 u + \xi_{k'} 2H_{p_i x_{k'}} \xi_k D_{x_i x_k}^2 u + \xi_{k'} H_{x_k x_{k'}} \right] d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Note that the left-hand side of this estimate is a non-negative quadratic form on $D^2(D_\xi u)$ and $D(D_\xi u)$ since $H(M, p, x)$ is jointly convex in M and p and the right-hand side depends on lower-order terms. Therefore this identity yields an a-priori estimate for second and third derivatives.

PROOF. By differentiating the equation

$$H(D_{xx}^2 u, D_x u, x) = \overline{H}$$

with respect to x_k and multiplying by ξ_k we obtain

$$H_{M_{ij}} \xi_k D_{x_i x_j x_k}^3 u + H_{p_i} \xi_k D_{x_i x_k}^2 u + \xi_k H_{x_k} = 0.$$

Differentiating this last expression with respect to $x_{k'}$ and multiplying by $\xi_{k'}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{M_{ij}M_{lm}}\xi_k D^3_{x_i x_j x_k} u \xi_{k'} D^3_{x_i x_j x_{k'}} u + 2H_{M_{ij}p_m}\xi_k D^3_{x_i x_j x_k} u \xi_{k'} D^2_{x_m x_{k'}} u \\ & + H_{p_i p_j}\xi_k D^2_{x_i x_k} u \xi_{k'} D^2_{x_j x_{k'}} u + 2H_{M_{ij}x_m}\xi_k D^3_{x_i x_j x_k} u \\ & + 2\xi_{k'} H_{p_i x_{k'}} \xi_k D^2_{x_i x_k} u + \xi_k \xi_{k'} H_{x_k x_{k'}} + H_{M_{ij}}\xi_k \xi_{k'} D^4_{x_i x_j x_k x_{k'}} u \\ & + \xi_k \xi_{k'} H_{p_i} D^3_{x_i x_k x_{k'}} u = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to μ , and observing that the last two terms integrate to 0 since

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{M_{ij}}\xi_k \xi_{k'} D^4_{x_i x_j x_k x_{k'}} u + \xi_k \xi_{k'} H_{p_i} D^3_{x_i x_k x_{k'}} u \\ & = H_{M_{ij}} D^2_{x_i x_j} (D^2_{\xi\xi} u) + H_{p_i} D_{x_i} (D^2_{\xi\xi} u), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the result. ■

Next, we briefly illustrate the estimates discussed above for a fully-nonlinear second-order equation. Let u be a periodic solution to the one-dimensional equation

$$e^{u_{xx}} + \frac{u_x^2}{2} + V(x) = \overline{H}.$$

The projection $\theta(x)$ of a minimizing measure in the x -axis satisfies

$$(\theta(x)e^{u_{xx}})_{xx} - (\theta u_x)_x = 0,$$

weakly as a measure. Furthermore

$$\int [e^{u_{xx}} u_{xxx}^2 + u_{xx}^2] \theta dx \leq C.$$

There are several important examples for which these estimates apply, two of them, the Stochastic Mather problem [Gom02b]

$$A_\omega u + L(x, \omega) = \Delta u + \omega \cdot D_x u + \frac{|\omega|^2}{2} - V(x),$$

and the vakonomic mechanics operator [Gom02a]

$$A_\omega u + L(x, \omega) = \omega f(x) \cdot D_x u + \frac{|\omega|^2}{2} - V(x),$$

have been studied in detail. However, important cases such as the maximal eigenvalue operator

$$A_\omega u = \frac{\omega \otimes \omega}{|\omega|^2} : D_{xx}^2 u,$$

the related streamline-diffusion controlled dynamics problem

$$A_\omega u + L(x, \omega) = \frac{\omega \otimes \omega}{|\omega|^2} : D_{xx}^2 u + \omega \cdot D_x u + \frac{|\omega|^2}{2} + V(x),$$

and the mean curvature flow (see [ST02] for a control theory formulation of the mean curvature flow) with drift

$$A_\omega u + L(x, \omega) = \left(I - \frac{\omega \otimes \omega}{|\omega|^2}\right) : D_{xx}^2 u + b(x) D_x u + V(x),$$

have not been studied using these techniques. We believe that our estimates and ideas may give important insight on these problems.

References

- [CC95] Luis A. Caffarelli and Xavier Cabré. *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [CIPP98] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain, and M. Paternain. Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé’s critical values. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(5):788–809, 1998.
- [E99] Weinan E. Aubry-Mather theory and periodic solutions of the forced Burgers equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52(7):811–828, 1999.
- [EG01a] L. C. Evans and D. Gomes. Effective Hamiltonians and averaging for Hamiltonian dynamics. I. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 157(1):1–33, 2001.
- [EG01b] L. C. Evans and D. Gomes. Effective Hamiltonians and averaging for Hamiltonian dynamics II. *Preprint*, 2001.
- [Fat97a] Albert Fathi. Solutions KAM faibles conjuguées et barrières de Peierls. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 325(6):649–652, 1997.

- [Fat97b] Albert Fathi. Théorème KAM faible et théorie de Mather sur les systèmes lagrangiens. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324(9):1043–1046, 1997.
- [Fat98a] Albert Fathi. Orbite hétéroclines et ensemble de Peierls. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 326:1213–1216, 1998.
- [Fat98b] Albert Fathi. Sur la convergence du semi-groupe de Lax-Oleinik. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 327:267–270, 1998.
- [Fle89] Wendell H. Fleming. Generalized solutions and convex duality in optimal control. In *Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. I*, pages 461–471. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [FS93] Wendell H. Fleming and H. Mete Soner. *Controlled Markov processes and viscosity solutions*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [FV88] Wendell H. Fleming and Domokos Vermes. Generalized solutions in the optimal control of diffusions. In *Stochastic differential systems, stochastic control theory and applications (Minneapolis, Minn., 1986)*, pages 119–127. Springer, New York, 1988.
- [FV89] Wendell H. Fleming and Domokos Vermes. Convex duality approach to the optimal control of diffusions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 27(5):1136–1155, 1989.
- [Gom02a] D. Gomes. Hamilton-Jacobi methods for vakonomic mechanics. *preprint*, 2002.
- [Gom02b] Diogo Aguiar Gomes. A stochastic analogue of Aubry-Mather theory. *Nonlinearity*, 15(3):581–603, 2002.
- [Gom03] Diogo Aguiar Gomes. Regularity theory for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 187(2):359–374, 2003.
- [LV80] R. M. Lewis and R. B. Vinter. Relaxation of optimal control problems to equivalent convex programs. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 74(2):475–493, 1980.
- [Mat91] John N. Mather. Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems. *Math. Z.*, 207(2):169–207, 1991.
- [Roc66] R. T. Rockafellar. Extension of Fenchel’s duality theorem for convex functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 33:81–89, 1966.

- [ST02] H. Mete Soner and Nizar Touzi. Dynamic programming for stochastic target problems and geometric flows. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 4(3):201–236, 2002.
- [VL78a] Richard B. Vinter and Richard M. Lewis. The equivalence of strong and weak formulations for certain problems in optimal control. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 16(4):546–570, 1978.
- [VL78b] Richard B. Vinter and Richard M. Lewis. A necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of dynamic programming type, making no a priori assumptions on the controls. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 16(4):571–583, 1978.